1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 GERALD SIEGRIST, individually and on Case No. C21-588 MJP behalf of all others similarly situated; 10 PATRICIA SIEGRIST, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, 11 STIPULATION AND ORDER Plaintiffs, 12 v. LCR 10(g) 13 REEDHEIN & ASSOCIATES d/b/a TIMESHARE EXIT TEAM; MAKAYMAX 14 INC.; HEIN & SONS INDUSTRIES, INC.; BRANDON REED, individually; and 15 TREVOR HEIN, individually, 16 Defendants. 17 18 PHILLIP ISAACS, REBECCAH ISSACS, Case No. C21-589 MJP 19 Plaintiffs. 20 REEDHEIN & ASSOCIATES d/b/a 21 TIMESHARE EXIT TEAM; MAKAYMAX INC.; HEIN & SONS INDUSTRIES, INC.; 22 BRANDON REED, individually; and TREVOR HEIN, individually, 23 24 Defendants. 25

This stipulation is between the plaintiffs in both of the above-named actions (collectively "plaintiffs") on the one hand and Defendants Reed Hein & Associates, Brandon Reed, and Makaymax, Inc. (collectively "the stipulating defendants") on the other. Those parties stipulate:

a. Conference and Joint Status Report

- 1. On May 11, 2021, this Court ordered the parties to confer about consolidating the above-named cases and issue a joint status report to the Court on May 25, 2021.
- Counsel for the plaintiffs and stipulating defendants conferred on May 11, May
 May 18, and May 20, 2021 and agreed to ask the Court to remand the cases to Washington
 State Superior Court for Snohomish County.

b. Remand

- 1. On May 3, 2021, the stipulating defendants removed the above-named cases to this Court, arguing removal was proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d), 1441, 1453(b) *et seq*.
- 2. On May 17, 2021, the *Isaacs* plaintiffs filed a motion to remand the *Isaacs* case, arguing that removal was improper under 28 U.S.C. §1332(d) *et seq*.
- 3. On May 19, 2021, both the *Isaacs* and *Siegrist* plaintiffs filed amended complaints without any class allegations. The matter in controversy in the Amended Complaint in the *Isaacs* case does not exceed the sum or value of \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

Stipulation and Order – 3

I. ORDER

These matters came before the Court on the parties' stipulation filed in both matters.

(Dkt. No. 14.) The Court has reviewed the stipulations and the records and files herein and is fully advised. Now therefore, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The pending motion for remand and for attorney fees in the *Isaacs* case (21-cv-00589-MJP, Dkt. No. 13) is struck.
- 2. The pending motion for remand and for attorney fees in the *Siegrist* case (21-cv-00588-MJP, Dkt. No. 13) is struck.
- 3. In light of the stipulation above, both cases are REMANDED to the Superior Court for Snohomish County.
- 4. The Court's May 11 Order requiring the parties to file a joint status report by May 25 is vacated as moot in light of this Order.

DATED this 26th day of May, 2021.

Marsha J. Pechman

Marshy Helens

United States Senior District Judge